

Joseph C  
SS#:  
Tax Year: 2006

6/12/07

TO: Illinois Department of Revenue  
ATTN: P. H.

Dear P. H. or alternate Specialist,

I was pleased to receive your response to my letter, and I would like to address the specifics of your reply in some detail. Please note that my mailing address has changed since your last correspondence arrived, as noted at the foot of this letter. Please direct ALL future correspondence to the new Schaumburg address, as shown below.

In your original request for more information, a copy of which I have included for your reference, you provided examples of documentation I could send in to support my 2006 return. I responded with the requested supporting document (U.S. Form 4852), per the instructions, thereby fulfilling your request.

Your June 5<sup>th</sup> letter purports to require yet more information. Below, I explain why I cannot and do not need to provide more support.

The letter I received, dated April 16, 2007, requested "the following, **as applicable**" to support my return: paycheck stubs, a letter from the company, > **OR** < a copy of my U.S. Form 4852.

Given the specific information requested in the first two options, namely the inclusion of "total wages" as reported by the company, the first two options are **not applicable** to support my 2006 return. By providing those documents, I would not only be providing redundant information, as you already have information returns from the company with the same data, but I would also be submitting contradictory testimony to my collective 2006 return.

Therefore, the U.S. Form 4852 was the only applicable document, and with reference to the "OR" in your request, it was the only necessary document required of me. Any additional information from the company provided by me would serve merely to duplicate testimony which is already available to you, as described below:

1. You have obtained documents from the company reflecting taxes withheld, amounts which I stated on Form 4852 are correct and should be returned to me
2. Given that the IL Dept. of Revenue received those monies, records of having received said monies are available for your reference in the Department's internal records and represent your firsthand knowledge of taxes withheld.

Your having received testimony from the company, undisputed by me, that \$1,137 were withheld and were subsequently received by the Department of Revenue, is sufficient support for the return.

In summary, the purpose of this letter is threefold.

1. To inform you of my new address, to which all future correspondence should be directed
2. To recall your attention to the fact that I have already fulfilled the request of your initial letter for more information by providing the one and only applicable document required, per the letter's instructions
3. To reassert that you already have firsthand information in your records of monies withheld from me and subsequently received by the IL Department of Revenue, and that \$1,137 should be returned to me

The only conceivable documentation that I could provide is a letter from the company showing the taxes withheld from 2006, sans any reference to wages, such that the letter would be consistent with my existing testimony. However, there is no need to submit such a letter for the reasons described above, and I would very much appreciate a prompt reply and a check for \$1,137 plus any applicable interest due me sent to the address below.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter,

Joseph C

