1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN		
3	SOUTHERN DIVISION		
4			
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
6	Case No. 13-20371		
7	-vs-		
8	DOREEN HENDRICKSON, Detroit, Michigan		
9	Defendant. July 25, 2014		
10	0/		
11	TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL - VOLUME FIVE		
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE VICTORIA A. ROBERTS		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, and a Jury.		
14			
15	APPEARANCES:		
16			
17	For the Government: Melissa Siskind, Esq.		
18	Jeffrey McLellan, Esq.		
19			
20	For the Defendant: Doreen Hendrickson, Pro Per		
21	Standby Counsel: Andrew Wise, Esq.		
22			
23			
24	Proceedings taken by mechanical stenography, transcript		
25	produced by computer-aided transcription		

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS		
2			
3	WITNESSES:	PAGE	
4			
5	DOREEN M. HENDRICKSON (Defense)		
6	Cross-Examination by Ms. Siskind	5	
7	Redirect-Examination by Mr. Wise	41	
8	Recross-Examination by Ms. Siskind	45	
9			
10	CLOSING ARGUMENTS		
11	By Mr. McLellan	51	
12	By Mrs. Hendrickson	63	
13	By Ms. Siskind	81	
14			
15	JURY INSTRUCTIONS	88	
16			
17			
18			
19		<u>RECEIVED</u>	
20			
21		12	
22		/ ·	
23		(Marked only)	
24		39	
25			

*6* 

 Defendant.

It is not a defense to the crime of Contempt that the Court Order that the Defendant is accused of violating was unlawful or unconstitutional.

An inability to comply with an Order of the Court is a complete defense to the charge, a charge of Contempt.

Now I want to say a word about the dates mentioned in the Indictment. The Indictment charges that the crime happened on or about certain dates. The Government does not have to prove that the crime happened on that exact date, but the Government must prove that the crime happened reasonably close to that date.

Now this is an instruction about mental state. I want to explain something about proving a Defendant's state of mind. Ordinarily there's no way that a Defendant's state of mind can be proved directly because no one can read another person's mind and tell what that person is thinking. But a Defendant's state of mind can be proven directly from the surrounding circumstances. This includes things like what the Defendant said, what the Defendant did, how the Defendant acted and any other facts or circumstances in evidence that show what was the Defendant's state of mind.

You may also consider the natural and probable results of any acts that the Defendant knowingly did or did not do and whether it is reasonable to conclude that the Defendant intended those results. This, of course, is all for you to decide.

Now that concludes the part of the instructions explaining the elements of the crime. Next I want to explain some rules that you must use in considering some of the testimony and evidence.

Now you've heard the Defendant testify. Earlier I talked to you about the credibility or the believability of the witnesses, and I suggested some things for you to